Annual Index, Volume 64

Cumulative indexes have been compiled for JUDICATURE volumes 1-20 and 21-45. Volumes 45-64 are indexed in separate annual indexes. For microfilm editions, write University Microfilms, Inc., P.O. Box 1307, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106.

AUTHORS

Adkins, II, William H.—Maryland's retention elections (letter), 298; Reducing high court caseload (letter), 201.

Beatty, Jr., John C.—Juror note-taking (letter), 108.
Baldwin, John and McConville, Michael—Does the composition of an English jury affect its verdict?, 132-139.
Balkin, Steven—Prisoners by day: a proposal to sentence non-violent offenders to a non-residential work facility, 154-164; Corrections, 252.
Berkson, Larry—Judicial selection in the United States: a special report, 176-193.
Blackmar, Charles B.—The case against South Carolina's bar admission program (letter), 253-255.
Blair, Nora F. and Flango, Victor Eugene—Creating an intermediate appellate court: does it reduce the caseload of a state's highest court?, 74-84.
Bohannon, Wayne E. and Mills, Carol J.—Juror characteristics: to what extent are they related to jury verdicts?, 22-31.
Bramwell, Henry—A two-tier system of justice? (letter), 5.
Brown, Ray C.—Making criminals pay (letter), 299, 336.
Burkoff, John—Ill-advised rules (letter), 200.

Cameron, James Duke—A judge in the jury box (letter), 386.
Carbon, Susan B.—Judicial retention elections: are they serving their intended purpose?, 210-233.
Cataldo, Anthony B.—A new appeals court? (letter), 336.

Davis, Norman—Author's answer (letter), 153; Television in our courts: the proven advantages, the unproven dangers, 85-92.
Danish, Roy—The trials of courtroom TV (letter), 101.
Downs, Harry—A postscript on judicial law-making (letter), 5.

Enright, Thomas C.—Bread and butter work (letter), 298.

Fink, Fred A.—Homicide, not murder (letter), 57.
Flango, Victor Eugene and Blair, Nora F.—Creating an intermediate appellate court: does it reduce the caseload of a state's highest court?, 74-84.

Goldman, Roger L., Puro, Steven and Padawer-Singer, Alice M.—The evolving role of U.S. magistrates in the district courts, 436-449.
Goldman, Sheldon—Carter's judicial appointments: a lasting legacy, 344-355.
Greanias, George C. and Windsor, Duane—Is judicial restraint possible in an administrative society?, 400-413.
Green, Joel G.—No excuse for errors (letter), 252.
Greenberg, Allan—How a Connecticut county converted a supermarket into a courthouse, 290-291.

Hallock, Jr., Walter E.—Correction (letter), 4.
Hinshaw, Ed—A balanced debate (letter), 4.
Hoelzel, William E.—No easy answers: a report on the National Conference for Judicial Conduct Organizations, 279-284.
Hudzik, John K. and Wakeley, John H.—Evaluating court training programs, 369-375.

Kaufman, Irving R.—Fair pay and judicial independence (letter), 434.
Kline, Terry A.—A hybrid plan for choosing judges? (letter), 343.
Krivosha, Norman—A respectful dissent (letter), 200-201.

Lateef, Noel—Allocating justice: the dangers of judicial triage, 312-319.
Levine, Mark S.—Victim compensation (letter), 56.
Liebman, Harold R.—An invasion of privacy (letter), 57.
Littlejohn, Bruce—Ensuring lawyer competency: the South Carolina approach, 109-113.
Livingston, John H.—'My Mother the Defendant'? (letter), 4.

Marske, Charles E. and Vago, Steven—Law and dispute processing in the academic community, 165-175.
Matthias, Ronald S. and McArthur, John R.—No enthusiasm for new bar rules (letter), 252.
McArthur, John R. and Matthias, Ronald S.—No enthusiasm for new bar rules (letter), 252.
McConville, Michael, and Baldwin, John—Does the composition of an English jury affect its verdict?, 132-139.
McLauchlan, William P.—An exploratory analysis of the Supreme Court's caseload from 1880-1976, 32-42.
Melone, Albert P.—A political scientist writes in defense of *The Brethren*, 140-144.
Merrill, Charles M.—Could judges deliver more justice if they wrote fewer opinions? (query), 435, 471.
Mills, Carol J. and Bohannon, Wayne E.—Juror characteristics: to what extent are they related to jury verdicts?, 22-31.
Morrow, David W.—A need for standards (letter), 298.
Morton, Jr., W. Brown—An addendum to Downs (letter), 56.

Nagel, Stuart S.—Determinate sentencing and plea bargaining (letter), 108.

Annual Index, Volume 64

Cumulative indexes have been compiled for JUDICATURE volumes 1-20 and 21-45. Volumes 45-64 are indexed in separate annual indexes. For microfilm editions, write University Microfilms, Inc., P.O. Box 1307, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106.

AUTHORS

Adkins, II, William H.—Maryland's retention elections (letter), 298; Reducing high court caseload (letter), 201.

Beatty, Jr., John C.—Juror note-taking (letter), 108.
Baldwin, John and McConville, Michael—Does the composition of an English jury affect its verdict?, 132-139.
Balkin, Steven—Prisoners by day: a proposal to sentence non-violent offenders to a non-residential work facility, 154-164; Corrections, 252.
Berkson, Larry—Judicial selection in the United States: a special report, 176-193.
Blackmar, Charles B.—The case against South Carolina's bar admission program (letter), 253-255.
Blair, Nora F. and Flango, Victor Eugene—Creating an intermediate appellate court: does it reduce the caseload of a state's highest court?, 74-84.
Bohannon, Wayne E. and Mills, Carol J.—Juror characteristics: to what extent are they related to jury verdicts?, 22-31.
Bramwell, Henry—A two-tier system of justice? (letter), 5.
Brown, Ray C.—Making criminals pay (letter), 299, 336.
Burkoff, John—Ill-advised rules (letter), 200.

Cameron, James Duke—A judge in the jury box (letter), 386.
Carbon, Susan B.—Judicial retention elections: are they serving their intended purpose?, 210-233.
Cataldo, Anthony B.—A new appeals court? (letter), 336.

Davis, Norman—Author's answer (letter), 153; Television in our courts: the proven advantages, the unproven dangers, 85-92.
Danish, Roy—The trials of courtroom TV (letter), 101.
Downs, Harry—A postscript on judicial law-making (letter), 5.

Enright, Thomas C.—Bread and butter work (letter), 298.

Fink, Fred A.—Homicide, not murder (letter), 57.
Flango, Victor Eugene and Blair, Nora F.—Creating an intermediate appellate court: does it reduce the caseload of a state's highest court?, 74-84.

Goldman, Roger L., Puro, Steven and Padawer-Singer, Alice M.—The evolving role of U.S. magistrates in the district courts, 436-449.
Goldman, Sheldon—Carter's judicial appointments: a lasting legacy, 344-355.
Greanias, George C. and Windsor, Duane—Is judicial restraint possible in an administrative society?, 400-413.
Green, Joel G.—No excuse for errors (letter), 252.
Greenberg, Allan—How a Connecticut county converted a supermarket into a courthouse, 290-291.

Hallock, Jr., Walter E.—Correction (letter), 4.
Hinshaw, Ed—A balanced debate (letter), 4.
Hoelzel, William E.—No easy answers: a report on the National Conference for Judicial Conduct Organizations, 279-284.
Hudzik, John K. and Wakeley, John H.—Evaluating court training programs, 369-375.

Kaufman, Irving R.—Fair pay and judicial independence (letter), 434.
Kline, Terry A.—A hybrid plan for choosing judges? (letter), 343.
Krivosha, Norman—A respectful dissent (letter), 200-201.

Lateef, Noel—Allocating justice: the dangers of judicial triage, 312-319.
Levine, Mark S.—Victim compensation (letter), 56.
Liebman, Harold R.—An invasion of privacy (letter), 57.
Littlejohn, Bruce—Ensuring lawyer competency: the South Carolina approach, 109-113.
Livingston, John H.—'My Mother the Defendant'? (letter), 4.

Marske, Charles E. and Vago, Steven—Law and dispute processing in the academic community, 165-175.
Matthias, Ronald S. and McArthur, John R.—No enthusiasm for new bar rules (letter), 252.
McArthur, John R. and Matthias, Ronald S.—No enthusiasm for new bar rules (letter), 252.
McConville, Michael, and Baldwin, John—Does the composition of an English jury affect its verdict?, 132-139.
McLauchlan, William P.—An exploratory analysis of the Supreme Court's caseload from 1880-1976, 32-42.
Melone, Albert P.—A political scientist writes in defense of *The Brethren*, 140-144.
Merrill, Charles M.—Could judges deliver more justice if they wrote fewer opinions? (query), 435, 471.
Mills, Carol J. and Bohannon, Wayne E.—Juror characteristics: to what extent are they related to jury verdicts?, 22-31.
Morrow, David W.—A need for standards (letter), 298.
Morton, Jr., W. Brown—An addendum to Downs (letter), 56.

Nagel, Stuart S.—Determinate sentencing and plea bargaining (letter), 108.

Neff, Alan—Breaking with tradition: a study of the U.S. District judge nominating commissions, 256-278.
Nejelski, Paul—The Jeffersonian/Hamiltonian duality: a framework for understanding reforms in the administration of justice, 450-462.
Nelson, Gary K.—A mistaken impression (letter), 299.
Netteburg, Kermit—Author's answer (letter), 57.

O'Brien, David M.—Of judicial myths, motivations and justifications: a postscript on social science and the law, 285-289; The seduction of the judiciary: social science and the courts, 8-21.

Padawer-Singer, Alice M., Puro, Steven and Goldman, Roger L.—The evolving role of U.S. magistrates in the district courts, 436-449.
Provine, D. Marie—Deciding what to decide: how the Supreme Court sets its agenda, 320-333.
Puro, Steven, Goldman, Roger L. and Padawer-Singer, Alice M.—The evolving role of U.S. magistrates in the district courts, 436-449.

Renfrew, Charles B.—Did the Devitt Committee find problems worthy of its 'solutions'? (query), 58-59, 100.
Rolloff, C. A.—Note-taking in Minnesota (letter), 56.

Sarat, Austin—The role of courts and the logic of court reform: notes on the Justice Department's approach to improving justice, 300-311.
Scheb, II, John M.—Florida conference examines education of court administrators (focus), 465-468.
Slotnick, Elliot E.—Reforms in judicial selection: will they affect the Senate's role?, 60-73; Reforms in judicial selection: will they affect the Senate's role? (Part II), 114-131.
Smith, Phil—Should the public be allowed to contest closure motions? (query), 6-7, 50.
Sperlich, Peter W.—Postrealism: should ignorance be elevated to a principle of adjudication?, 93-98.
Sterling, Joyce, Stott, Jr., E. Keith and Weller, Steven—What judges think of performance evaluation: a report on the Colorado survey, 414-424.
Stiegler, Mayo H.—Decisions of note (focus), 468-470; Hope fades for federal judges seeking pay raise (focus), 376-378; Selecting federal judges during the Reagan Administration (focus), 427-428.
Stookey, John A. and Watson, George—Merit retention elections: can the bar influence the voters?, 234-241.

KEY

The pages used in the index listings refer to the following months.

Pages	Month
1-52	June-July 1980
53-104	August
105-148	September
149-196	October
197-248	November
249-296	Dec.-Jan. 1981
297-338	February
339-382	March
383-430	April
431-478	May

Stott, Jr., E. Keith, Sterling, Joyce and Weller, Steven—What judges think of performance evaluation: a report on the Colorado survey, 414-424.
Suttle, D. W.—Correction (letter), 5.

Tarr, G. Alan—Court unification and court performance: a preliminary assessment, 356-368.
Tilson, John Q.—Choosing judges (letter), 299.

Vago, Steven and Marske, Charles E.—Law and dispute processing in the academic community, 165-175.
Vogel, Robert—TV's false picture (letter), 153.

Wakeley, John H. and Hudzik, John K.—Evaluating court training programs, 369-375.
Watson, George and Stookey, John A.—Merit retention elections: can the bar influence the voters?, 234-241.
Way, Frank—A call for limits to judicial immunity: must judges be kings in their courts?, 390-399.
Weller, Steven, Sterling, Joyce and Stott, Jr., E. Keith—What judges think of performance evaluation: a report on the Colorado survey, 414-424.
White, Stephen R.—Do we really understand how caseload pressures may affect criminal justice decisionmaking? (query), 387-389, 428.
Wikler, Norma Juliet—On the judicial agenda for the 80s: equal treatment for men and women in the courts, 202-209.
Windsor, Duane and Greanias, George C.—Is judicial restraint possible in an administrative society?, 400-413.
Wolfgang, Marvin E.—Request for help (letter), 386.

SUBJECTS

AJS

Breaking with tradition: a study of the U.S. District judge nominating commissions, Neff, Alan, 256-278.

Does television make a fair trial impossible?—a debate (news), 145-146.

No easy answers: a report on the National Conference for Judicial Conduct Organizations, Hoelzel, William E., 279-284.

On fair and adequate compensation for judges (editorial), 152.

On improved benefits for federal judges (editorial), 342.

Alternatives to adjudication

The Jeffersonian/Hamiltonian duality: a framework for understanding reforms in the administration of justice, Nejelski, Paul, 450-462.

The role of courts and the logic of court reform: notes on the Justice Department's approach to improving justice, Sarat, Austin, 300-311.

Appellate courts

An addendum to Downs (letter), Morton, W. Brown, Jr., 56.

Could judges deliver more justice if they wrote fewer opinions? (query), Merrill, Charles M., 435, 471.

Creating an intermediate appellate court; does it reduce the caseload of a state's highest court?, Flango, Victor Eugene and Blair, Nora F., 74-84.

Deciding what to decide: how the Supreme Court sets its agenda, Provine, D. Marie, 320-333.

An exploratory analysis of the Supreme Court's caseload from 1880-1976, McLauchlan, William P., 32-42.

A new appeals court? (letter), Cataldo, Anthony B., 336.

A postscript on judicial law-making (letter), Downs, Harry, 5.

Reducing high court caseload (letter), Adkins, II, William H., 201.

Bar activities

Judicial retention elections: are they serving their intended purpose?, Carbon, Susan B., 210-233.

Merit retention elections: can the bar influence the voters?, Stookey, John A. and Watson, George, 234-241.

Congestion and delay

Could judges deliver more justice if they wrote fewer opinions? (query), Merrill, Charles M., 435, 471.

Creating an intermediate appellate court: does it reduce the caseload of a state's highest court?, Flango, Victor Eugene and Blair, Nora F., 74-84.

Do we really understand how caseload pressures may affect criminal justice decisionmaking? (query), White, Stephen R., 387-389, 428.

The evolving role of U.S. magistrates in the district courts, Puro, Steven, Goldman, Roger L. and Padawer-Singer, Alice M., 436-449.

An exploratory analysis of the Supreme Court's caseload from 1880-1976, McLauchlan, William P., 32-42.

Law and dispute processing in the academic community, Marske, Charles E. and Vago, Steven, 165-175.

Reducing high court caseload (letter), Adkins, II, William H., 201.

Constitutional interpretation

An addendum to Downs (letter), Morton, Jr., W. Brown, 56.

Allocating justice: the dangers of judicial triage, Lateef, Noel, 312-319.

A postscript on judicial law-making (letter), Downs, Harry, 5.

Corrections

Correction (letter), Hallock, Jr., Walter E., 4.

Making criminals pay (letter), Brown, Ray C., 299, 336.

Prisoners by day: a proposal to sentence non-violent offenders to a non-residential work facility, Balkin, Steven, 154-164; Corrections, 252.

A two-tier system of justice? (letter), Bramwell, Henry, 5.

Court facilities

How a Connecticut county converted a supermarket into a courthouse, Greenberg, Allan, 290-291.

Court management

Evaluating court training programs, Hudzik, John K. and Wakeley, John H., 369-375.

Florida conference examines education of court administrators (focus), Scheb, II, John M., 465-468.

The Jeffersonian/Hamiltonian duality: a framework for understanding reforms in the administration of justice, Nejelski, Paul, 450-462.

The role of courts and the logic of court reform: notes on the Justice Department's approach to improving justice, Sarat, Austin, 300-311.

Court performance

Could judges deliver more justice if they wrote fewer opinions? (query), Merrill, Charles M., 435, 471.

Court unification and court performance: a preliminary assessment, Tarr, G. Alan, 356-368.

Deciding what to decide: how the Supreme Court sets its agenda, Provine, D. Marie, 320-333.

Do we really understand how caseload pressures may affect criminal justice decisionmaking? (query), White, Stephen R., 387-389, 428.

The evolving role of U.S. magistrates in the district courts, Puro, Steven, Goldman, Roger L. and Padawer-Singer, Alice M., 436-449.

Court personnel

Clerk of court not liable for entering judgment erroneously (decisions of note), Stiegler, Mayo H., 470.

Evaluating court training programs, Hudzik, John K. and Wakeley, John H., 369-375.

Florida conference examines education of court administrators (focus), Scheb, II, John M., 465-468.

Court publicity—See Media and courts

Court structure

Court unification and court performance: a preliminary assessment, Tarr, G. Alan, 356-368.

The Jeffersonian/Hamiltonian duality: a framework for understanding reforms in the administration of justice, Nejelski, Paul, 450-462.

A new appeals court? (letter), Cataldo, Anthony B., 336.

Courts of limited jurisdiction

The Jeffersonian/Hamiltonian duality: a framework for understanding reforms in the administration of justice, Nejelski, Paul, 450-462.

Foreign justice systems

Does the composition of an English jury affect its verdict?, McConville, Michael and Baldwin, John, 132-139.

Judicial compensation and tenure

Fair pay and judicial independence (letter), Kaufman, Irving R., 434.

Hope fades for federal judges seeking pay raise (focus), Stiegler, Mayo H., 376-378.

On fair and adequate compensation for judges (editorial), 152.

On improved benefits for federal judges (editorial), 342.

Postscript on judicial salaries (focus), 427.

U.S. judge resigns in protest over poor survivor's benefits (focus), 378.

Judicial decisionmaking

Could judges deliver more justice if they wrote fewer opinions? (query), Merrill, Charles M., 435, 471.

Deciding what to decide: how the Supreme Court sets its agenda, Provine, D. Marie, 320-333.

On the judicial agenda for the 80s: equal treatment for men and women in the courts, Wikler, Norma Juliet, 202-209.

Postrealism: should ignorance be elevated to a principle of adjudication?, Sperlich, Peter W., 93-98.

Judicial discipline and removal

New law gives judiciary power to discipline its own members (news), 242.

KEY

The pages used in the index listings refer to the following months.

Pages	Month
1-52	June-July 1980
53-104	August
105-148	September
149-196	October
197-248	November
249-296	Dec.-Jan. 1981
297-338	February
339-382	March
383-430	April
431-478	May

No easy answers: a report on the National Conference for Judicial Conduct Organizations, Hoelzel, William E., 279-284.

Judicial education and qualifications

Evaluating court training programs, Hudzik, John K. and Wakeley, John H., 369-375.

On the judicial agenda for the 80s: equal treatment for men and women in the courts, Wikler, Norma Juliet, 202-209.

Judicial ethics

Court says New York judge must resign to run for Congress (decisions of note), Stiegler, Mayo H., 469.

Legislature can't order judges to disclose finances, court rules (decisions of note), Stiegler, Mayo H., 469.

State cannot prohibit retired judge from practicing before his old court (decisions of note), Stiegler, Mayo H., 469-470.

Judicial evaluation

Judicial retention elections: are they serving their intended purpose?, Carbon, Susan B., 210-233.

Maryland's retention elections (letter), Adkins, II, William H., 298.

Merit retention elections: can the bar influence the voters?, Stookey, John A. and Watson, George, 234-241.

A mistaken impression (letter), Nelson, Gary K., 299.

What judges think of performance evaluation: a report on the Colorado survey, Sterling, Joyce, Stott, Jr., E. Keith and Weller, Steven, 414-424.

Judicial immunity

A call for limits to judicial immunity: must judges be kings in their courts?, Way, Frank, 390-399.

Judicial immunity does not protect private conspirators, court rules (decisions of note), Stiegler, Mayo H., 468-469.

Judicial independence—See Judicial ethics and Judicial powers and duties

Judicial powers and duties

Allocating justice: the dangers of judicial triage, Lateef, Noel, 312-319.

A call for limits to judicial immunity: must judges be kings in their courts?, Way, Frank, 390-399.

Could judges deliver more justice if they wrote fewer opinions? (query), Merrill, Charles M., 435, 471.

Correction (letter), Hallock, Jr., Walter E., 4.

Correction (letter), Suttle, D. W., 5.

The evolving role of U.S. magistrates in the district courts, Puro, Steven, Goldman, Roger L. and Padawer-Singer, Alice M., 436-449.

Fair pay and judicial independence (letter), Kaufman, Irving R., 434.

Is judicial restraint possible in an administrative society?, Greanias, George C. and Windsor, Duane, 400-413.

The Jeffersonian/Hamiltonian duality: a framework for understanding reforms in the administration of justice, Nejelski, Paul, 450-462.

New law gives judiciary power to discipline its own members (news), 242.

Note-taking in Minnesota (letter), Rolloff, C. A., 56.

Of judicial myths, motivations, and justifications: a postscript on social science and the law, O'Brien, David M., 285-289.

A postscript on judicial law-making (letter), Downs, Harry, 5.

The role of courts and the logic of court reform: notes on the Justice Department's approach to improving justice, Sarat, Austin, 300-311.

The seduction of the judiciary: social science and the courts, O'Brien, David M., 8-21.

Should the public be allowed to contest closure motions? (query), Smith, Phil, 6-7, 50.

A two-tier system of justice? (letter), Bramwell, Henry, 5.

Judicial selection

Breaking with tradition: a study of the U.S. District judge nominating commissions, Nefi, Alan, 256-278.

Carter's judicial appointments: a lasting legacy, Goldman, Sheldon, 344-355.

Choosing judges (letter), Tilson, John Q., 299.

Governor can select successor if judge retires just before election (decisions of note), Stiegler, Mayo H., 470.

A hybrid plan for choosing judges? (letter), Kline, Terry A., 343.

Judicial retention elections: are they serving their intended purpose?, Carbon, Susan B., 210-233.

Judicial selection in the United States: a special report, Berkson, Larry, 176-193.

Maryland's retention elections (letter), Adkins, II, William H., 298.

Merit retention elections: can the bar influence the voters?, Stookey, John A. and Watson, George, 234-241.

A mistaken impression (letter), Nelson, Gary K., 299.

Reforms in judicial selection: will they affect the Senate's role?, Slotnick, Elliot E., 60-73.

Reforms in judicial selection: will they affect the Senate's role? (Part II), Slotnick, Elliot E., 114-131.

Selecting federal judges during the Reagan Administration (focus), Stiegler, Mayo H., 427-428.

Jury trial

Correction (letter), Suttle, D. W., 5.

Does the composition of an English jury affect its verdict?, McConville, Michael and Baldwin, John, 132-139.

The Jeffersonian/Hamiltonian duality: a framework for understanding reforms in the administration of justice, Nejelski, Paul, 450-462.

A judge in the jury box (letter), Cameron, James Duke, 386.

Juror note-taking (letter), Beatty, Jr., John C., 108.

Juror characteristics: to what extent are they related to jury verdicts?, Mills, Carol J. and Bohannon, Wayne E., 22-31.

Note-taking in Minnesota (letter), Rolloff, C. A., 56.

Legal education

Bread-and-butter work (letter), Enright, Thomas C., 298.

The case against South Carolina's bar admission program (letter), Blackmar, Charles B., 253-255.

Did the Devitt Committee find problems worthy of its 'solutions'? (query), Renfrew, Charles B., 58-59, 100.

Ensuring lawyer competency: the South Carolina approach, Littlejohn, Bruce, 109-113.

Ill-advised rules (letter), Burkoff, John, 200.

A need for standards (letter), Morrow, David W., 298.

No enthusiasm for new bar rules (letter), Matthias, Ronald S. and McArthur, John R., 252.

A respectful dissent (letter), Krivosha, Norman, 200-201.

Legal services

Bread-and-butter work (letter), Enright, Thomas C., 298.

The case against South Carolina's bar admission program (letter), Blackmar, Charles B., 253-255.

Did the Devitt Committee find problems worthy of its 'solutions'? (query), Renfrew, Charles B., 58-59, 100.

Ensuring lawyer competency: the South Carolina approach, Littlejohn, Bruce, 109-113.

Ill-advised rules (letter), Burkoff, John, 200.

The Jeffersonian/Hamiltonian duality: a framework for understanding reforms in the administration of justice, Nejelski, Paul, 450-462.

Law library must admit public during the evening, court rules (decisions of note), Stiegler, Mayo H., 470.

A need for standards (letter), Morrow, David W., 298.

No enthusiasm for new bar rules (letter), Matthias, Ronald S. and McArthur, John R., 252.

A respectful dissent (letter), Krivosha, Norman, 200-201.

Magistrates—See Judicial powers and duties

Media and courts

Author's answer (letter), Davis, Norman, 153.

Author's answer (letter), Netteburg, Kermit, 57.

A balanced debate (letter), Hinshaw, Ed, 4.

Does television make a fair trial impossible?—a debate (news), 145-146.

No excuse for errors (letter), Green, Joel G., 252.

Homicide, not murder (letter), Fink, Fred A., 57.

An invasion of privacy (letter), Liebman, Harold R., 57.

The Jeffersonian/Hamiltonian duality: a framework for understanding reforms in the administration of justice, Nejelski, Paul, 450-462.

'My mother the defendant'? (letter), Livingston, John H., 4.

A political scientist writes in defense of *The Brethren*, Melone, Albert P., 140-144.

Should the public be allowed to contest closure motions? (query), Smith, Phil, 6-7, 50.

Television in our courts: the proven advantages, the unproven dangers, Davis, Norman, 85-92.
The trials of courtroom TV (letter), Danish, Roy, 101.
TV's false picture (letter), Vogel, Robert, 153.

Plea bargaining
Determinate sentencing and plea bargaining (letter), Nagel, Stuart S., 108.

Prosecutorial function
Determinate sentencing and plea bargaining (letter), Nagel, Stuart S., 108.

Sentencing
Correction (letter), Hallock, Jr., Walter E., 4.
Determinate sentencing and plea bargaining (letter), Nagel, Stuart S., 108.
Making criminals pay (letter), Brown, Ray C., 299, 336.
Prisoners by day: a proposal to sentence non-violent offenders to a non-residential work facility, Balkin, Steven, 154-164; Corrections, 252.
A two-tier system of justice? (letter), Bramwell, Henry, 5.

Statutory reform
Victim compensation (letter), Levine, Mark S., 56.

U.S. District Judge Nominating Commission—See Judicial selection

Victim compensation
Followup, 153.
Victim compensation (letter), Levine, Mark S., 56.

BOOK REVIEWS

BOOK AUTHORS

Ashman, Allan, Ryan, John Paul, Sales, Bruce D. and Shane-DuBow, Sandra, *American Trial Judges: Their Work Styles and Performance* reviewed by Peter F. Nardulli, 379-380.
Choper, Jesse H., *Judicial Review and the National Political Process: A Functional Reconsideration of the Role of the Supreme Court* reviewed by Albert P. Melone, 335-336.
Cramer, James A. and McDonald, William F., *Plea-Bargaining* reviewed by Marc G. Gertz, 334.
Dubois, Philip L., *From Ballot to Bench* reviewed by Larry Berkson, 463-464.
Empey, Lamar T. (editor), *Juvenile Justice: The Progressive Legacy and Current Reforms* reviewed by H. Ted Rubin, 43-44.
Feeley, Malcolm M., *The Process is the Punishment* reviewed by Frances Zemans, 44-47.
Fontes, Norman E. and Miller, Gerald R., *Videotape on Trial—A View From the Jury Box* reviewed by Wayne Eli Brucar, 243-244.
Hall, Kermit L., *The Politics of Justice: Lower Federal Judicial Selection and the Second Party System, 1829-61* reviewed by Sheldon Goldman, 425-426.
Kamisar, Yale, *Police Interrogation and Confessions: Essays in Law and Policy* reviewed by Patricia M. Wald, 292-293.
McDonald, William F. and Cramer, James A., *Plea-Bargaining* reviewed by Marc G. Gertz, 334.
Miller, Gerald R. and Fontes, Norman E., *Videotape on Trial—A View From the Jury Box* reviewed by Wayne Eli Brucar, 243-244.
Rubin, H. Ted, *Juvenile Justice: Policy, Practices and Law* reviewed by Anthony Ragona, 293-294.
Ryan, John Paul, Ashman, Allan, Sales, Bruce D. and Shane-DuBow, Sandra, *American Trial Judges: Their Work Styles and Performance* reviewed by Peter F. Nardulli, 379-380.
Sales, Bruce D., Ryan, John Paul, Ashman, Allan and Shane-DuBow, Sandra, *American Trial Judges: Their Work Styles and Performance* reviewed by Peter F. Nardulli, 379-380.
Shane-DuBow, Sandra, Ryan, John Paul, Ashman, Allan, and Sales, Bruce D., *American Trial Judges: Their Work Styles and Performance* reviewed by Peter F. Nardulli, 379-380.
Uhlman, Thomas M., *Racial Justice: Black Judges and Defendants in an Urban Trial Court* reviewed by John Paul Ryan, 99-100.
Wenke, Robert A., *The Art of Selecting A Jury* reviewed by Geoffrey L. Gifford, 194.
Wessell, Milton R., *Science and Conscience* reviewed by William A. Groening, Jr., 245-246.

BOOK TITLES

American Trial Judges: Their Work Styles and Performance by John Paul Ryan, Allan Ashman, Bruce D. Sales and Sandra Shane-DeBow, reviewed by Peter F. Nardulli, 379-380.
The Art of Selecting A Jury by Robert A. Wenke, reviewed by Geoffrey L. Gifford, 194.
From Ballot to Bench by Philip L. Dubois, reviewed by Larry Berkson, 463-464.
Judicial Review and the National Political Process: A Functional Reconsideration of the Role of the Supreme Court by Jesse H. Choper, reviewed by Albert P. Melone, 335-336.
Juvenile Justice: Policy, Practices and Law by H. Ted Rubin, reviewed by Anthony Ragona, 293-294.
Juvenile Justice: The Progressive Legacy and Current Reforms edited by Lamar T. Empey, reviewed by H. Ted Rubin, 43-44.
Plea-Bargaining edited by William F. McDonald and James A. Cramer, reviewed by Marc G. Gertz, 334.
Police Interrogation and Confessions: Essays in Law and Policy by Yale Kamisar, reviewed by Patricia M. Wald, 292-293.
The Politics of Justice: Lower Federal Judicial Selection and the Second Party System, 1829-61 by Kermit L. Hall, reviewed by Sheldon Goldman, 425-426.
The Process is the Punishment by Malcolm M. Feeley, reviewed by Frances Zemans, 44-47.
Racial Justice: Black Judges and Defendants in an Urban Trial Court by Thomas M. Uhlman, reviewed by John Paul Ryan, 99-100.
Science and Conscience by Milton R. Wessel, reviewed by William A. Groening, Jr., 245-246.
Videotape on Trial—A View From the Jury Box by Gerald R. Miller and Norman E. Fontes, reviewed by Wayne Eli Brucar, 243-244.

BOOK REVIEWERS

Berkson, Larry, *From Ballot to Bench* by Philip L. Dubois, 463-464.
Brucar, Wayne Eli, *Videotape on Trial—A View From the Jury Box* by Gerald R. Miller and Norman E. Fontes, 243-244.
Gertz, Marc G., *Plea-Bargaining* edited by William F. McDonald and James A. Cramer, 334.
Gifford, Geoffrey L., *The Art of Selecting A Jury* by Robert A. Wenke, 194.
Goldman, Sheldon, *The Politics of Justice: Lower Federal Judicial Selection and the Second Party System, 1829-61* by Kermit L. Hall, 425-426.
Groening, Jr., William A., *Science and Conscience* by Milton R. Wessel, 245-246.
Melone, Albert P., *Judicial Review and the National Political Process: A Functional Reconsideration of the Role of the Supreme Court* by Jesse H. Choper, 335-336.
Nardulli, Peter F., *American Trial Judges: Their Work Styles and Performance* by John Paul Ryan, Allan Ashman, Bruce D. Sales and Sandra Shane-DuBow, 379-380.
Ragona, Anthony, *Juvenile Justice: Policy, Practices, and Law* by H. Ted Rubin, 293-294.
Rubin, H. Ted, *Juvenile Justice: The Progressive Legacy and Current Reforms* edited by Lamar T. Empey, 43-44.
Ryan, John Paul, *Racial Justice: Black Judges and Defendants in an Urban Trial Court* by Thomas M. Uhlman, 99-100.
Wald, Patricia M., *Police Interrogation and Confessions: Essays in Law and Policy* by Yale Kamisar, 292-293.
Zemans, Frances, *The Process is the Punishment* by Malcolm M. Feeley, 44-47.

KEY

The pages used in the index listings refer to the following months.

Pages	Month
1-52	June-July 1980
53-104	August
105-148	September
149-196	October
197-248	November
249-296	Dec.-Jan. 1981
297-338	February
339-382	March
383-430	April
431-478	May